


From: [Schnepp, Jason](#)
To: [Ogulei, David](#)
Subject: RE: FW: Continental
Date: Tuesday, October 09, 2012 8:38:48 AM

That's what I think it means and that is what the permit would allow.

From: David Ogulei [mailto:Ogulei.David@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, October 09, 2012 8:37 AM
To: Schnepp, Jason
Subject: Re: FW: Continental

Note 1 says: "The RTO for Mixers #19, #20 and #21 currently uses one burner at 4.8 MMBtu/hr but will be increased **by** 12.66 MMBtu/hr." Do they mean "...but will be increased **to**..."? The table suggests it should be "...increased to..."

 "Schnepp, Jason" ---10/09/2012 07:39:54 AM---fyi From: Kristine Davies
[mailto:KDavies@trinityconsultants.com]

From: "Schnepp, Jason" <Jason.Schnepp@Illinois.gov>
To: David Ogulei/R5/USEPA/US@EPA,
Date: 10/09/2012 07:39 AM
Subject: FW: Continental

fyi

From: Kristine Davies [mailto:KDavies@trinityconsultants.com]
Sent: Monday, October 08, 2012 10:36 AM
To: Schnepp, Jason
Subject: Re: Continental

The footnote was incorrect. Here is a revised table that I think solves the issue.

Kristine Davies, C.M.
Principal Consultant
Trinity Consultants
1795 Clarkson Rd., Suite 210
Chesterfield, MO 63017
(636) 530-4600 (phone)
(636) 530-1602 (fax)

From: Kristine Davies/Trinity Consultants
To: "Schnepp, Jason" <Jason.Schnepp@Illinois.gov>
Date: 10/02/2012 09:15 AM
Subject: Re: Continental

Jason,

We are looking into both of your questions. I am interviewing on campus all day, so it will

likely be late tonight or tomorrow morning before I respond.

Kristine

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 1, 2012, at 2:47 PM, "Schnepp, Jason" <Jason.Schnepp@Illinois.gov> wrote:

Kristine,

I have been working the USEPA Region 5 on the Continental draft. They had a couple questions about the application.

1. Table CTTA Control Equipment Emissions – RTO Burners

Note 1 seems to contradict the tables and “given” information. For example, the table shows RTO 1 (for 19, 20, 21) going from 4.8 to 12.655 and RTO 2 (for 5 and 6) going from 2.3 to 2.3 – no change. However, the note suggests that the capacity will increase by 12.655 and 2.3 for a total increase of 14.95. This also contradicts the third line down that suggests the burner capacity is 14.95, not just the increase. Please clarify.

2. The baseline emissions for mixer 5 appear to be uncontrolled. Please address 40 CFR 52.21(b)(48)(ii)(C). Specifically, address whether your baseline value should be adjusted downward to account for the control device that will be required under this permit.

Thanks.

Jason

The information transmitted is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you Received this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from any computer.

[attachment "CTTA Control Equipment Emissions - RTO Burners.pdf" deleted by David Ogulei/R5/USEPA/US]